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#### Abstract

The fibers of the elliptic Maxwell operator $M$ in an infinite cylindrical wave guide are not of type (B) in Kato's sense. In spite of this phenomenon, which apparently does not appear with the other operators of mathematical physics, we prove that the dispersion curves $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ of $M$ are real analytic functions. Nevertheless, it is not yet known if they are monotone. Therefore, we define the thresholds of $M$ as the stationary points of $p \mapsto \lambda_{n}(p)$, for any $n \geq 1$. This approach generalizes the common definition of the thresholds used in acoustics. Next, the asymptotic behavior of the dispersion curves with respect to parameters $p$ and $n$ allow us to deduce a limiting absorption principle for $M$, which remains valid at the thresholds.


## 1. Introduction

In Refs. 13 and 14, we study the spectral problems of electromagnetic wave propagation in a three-dimensional layered medium. In this paper, we examine the more general situation described in Ref. 16, of an infinite cylindrical wave guide, whose cross-section is bounded.

More precisely, $\Omega_{T}$ is a bounded, connected and simply connected open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with a Lipschitz-continuous boundary $\Gamma_{T}$. The infinite cylinder $\Gamma=\Gamma_{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ represents a perfectly conducting wave guide, and the dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon$ together with the magnetic permeability $\mu$ of the continuous isotropic propagation medium $\Omega=\Omega_{T} \times \mathbb{R}$, are real measurable and strictly positive functions that depend only on the cross variables $x_{T}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{T}$. Next, $n_{\Gamma}$ denoting the unit outward normal to $\Gamma$ and $x=\left(x_{T}, x_{3}\right)$ being the usual point of $\Omega$, the electric field $u$ propagating in the medium $\Omega$ satisfies, according to the Maxwell equations detailed in Ref. 4,

[^0]\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t}^{2} u(x, t)+\mathcal{M} u(x, t)=0, \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*} \\
\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon u)(x, t)=0,(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*} \\
u(\sigma, t) \wedge n_{\Gamma}(\sigma)=0,(\sigma, t) \in \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

where $\mathcal{M} u=\varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{curl}\left(\mu^{-1}\right.$ curl $\left.u\right)$. In the following, we assume in addition that $\varepsilon^{ \pm 1}$ and $\mu^{ \pm 1}$ belong to $L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$. Note that under these assumptions, $\mathcal{M}$ does not reduce to the three-dimensional Laplace operator.

The differential second-order operator $\mathcal{M}$ has an elliptic self-adjoint realization $M$ in the Hilbertian space

$$
H_{\varepsilon}=\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}, \operatorname{div}(\varepsilon u)=0\right\}
$$

endowed with the $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \varepsilon d x_{T} d x_{3}\right)^{3}$ scalar product. This operator is called secondorder Maxwell operator or elliptic Maxwell operator. It is defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D(M)=\left\{u \in H(\operatorname{curl} ; \Omega) \cap H_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\tau} u=0, \mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl} u \in H(\operatorname{curl} ; \Omega)\right\} \\
\forall u \in D(M), M u=\mathcal{M} u
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $H(\operatorname{curl} ; \Omega)$ denotes the space $\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{curl} u \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right\}$ equipped with the norm $\|u\|_{H(\operatorname{curl} ; \Omega)}=\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}}^{2}+\|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, and $\gamma_{\tau}$ is the unique continuous linear mapping from $H(\operatorname{curl} ; \Omega)$ into $H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)^{3}$ such that $\gamma_{\tau} u=u \wedge n_{\Gamma}$ for any $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}$. In fact, the self-adjointness of $M$ arises from the following "Green-formula", which is valid for $u$ in $H(\operatorname{curl} ; \Omega)$ and $v$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{curl} u, v)_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}}=(u, \operatorname{curl} v)_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}}+\left\langle\gamma_{\tau} u, \gamma_{0} v\right\rangle_{H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)^{3}, H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)^{3}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{0}$ denotes the classical trace function from $H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}$ onto $H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)^{3}$.
Thus, the spectrum of $M, \sigma(M)$, is a real set, and the resolvent operator $z \mapsto R_{M}(z)=(M-z)^{-1}$ is also an analytic function from $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ into $B\left(H_{\varepsilon}\right)$. But, it is well known that $\lim _{z \rightarrow \tau}\left\|R_{M}(z)\right\|_{B\left(H_{\varepsilon}\right)}=+\infty$ when $\tau$ belongs to $\sigma(M)$. We will prove in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 that $z \mapsto R_{M}(z)$ can be extended (in a suitable weighted $L^{2}$-topology) to the lower or upper half plane $\overline{\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}}$in a locally Hölder continuous function, $R_{M}^{ \pm}$. This continuous extension is called "limiting absorption principle". The local Hölder continuity of the extended resolvent operator allows the study of the time-asymptotic behavior of operator $t \mapsto e^{i M^{1 / 2} t}$. More precisely, this property is very useful for showing in the same way as in Ref. 9 for the acoustic propagator, that the solution $u$ of the Cauchy problem

$$
\partial_{t}^{2} u(x, t)+M u(x, t)=e^{-i t \sqrt{\omega}} f(x), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*},
$$

for a convenient data function $f$, with zero initial conditions $u(x, 0)=\partial_{t} u(x, 0)=0$, behaves like

$$
u(x, t)=e^{-i t \sqrt{\omega}} R_{M}^{ \pm}(\omega) f(x)+o(1)
$$

as $t$ goes to $\pm \infty$. Then, as it is explained in Ref. 12, the knowledge of this behavior allows us to prove the existence and completeness of the generalized wave operator, which is fundamental for the scattering theory.

The proof of the limiting absorption principle for $M$ is given in Sec. 3. It requires an adapted spectral representation of $M$ that will be built preliminarily in Sec. 2.

Similar spectral problems for acoustic operators have already been studied by several authors in Ref. 1 or 3. Under suitable assumptions on the behavior of sound speed, it is proved that the dispersion curves are strictly monotone on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$, so they can deduce a limiting absorption principle for the acoustic propagator. In fact, this very particular behavior is not essential for the proof of a limiting absorption principle. Indeed, by only using the analyticity together with the following asymptotic properties

$$
\forall n \geq 1, \quad \lim _{|p| \rightarrow+\infty} \lambda_{n}(p)=+\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\inf _{p \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda_{n}(p)\right)=+\infty
$$

of the dispersion curves $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ of $M$, we prove in the following that $R_{M}$ can be extended in a locally Hölder continuous function on the real axis. Note that the stationary points of each dispersion curve above may eventually be a discrete set of $\mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, contrary to the acoustic or elastic case, the analytic properties of $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ do not arise directly from Kato's theory detailed in Ref. 8 because the holomorphic family associated to the fibers of $M$ is neither of type (A) nor of type (B). Besides, it seems that this phenomenon also does not appear with the other classical operators of mathematical physics. Therefore, the proof in Sec. 2.3 of the analytic properties of any $p \mapsto \lambda_{n}(p)$, for $n \geq 1$, requires a preliminary study in Sec. 2.2 of the behavior of these dispersion curves in a neighborhood of 0 .

## 2. Spectral Analysis of $M$

The spectral analysis of $M$ is essentially based on the use of the partial Fourier transform with respect to the infinite direction $x_{3}$. Indeed, as $\varepsilon$ depends only on the cross variable $x_{T} \in \Omega_{T}$, the mapping

$$
\mathcal{F}_{x_{3}}: u \mapsto \hat{u}\left(x_{T}, p\right)=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} \lim _{X \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{-X}^{X} u\left(x_{T}, x_{3}\right) e^{-i p x_{3}} d x_{3},
$$

defines a unitary transform from $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \varepsilon d x_{T} d x_{3}\right)^{3}$ onto $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \varepsilon d x_{T} d p\right)^{3}$.

### 2.1. Definition of the reduced operators of $M$

Set $\operatorname{curl}_{T} u_{T}=\partial_{x_{1}} u_{2}-\partial_{x_{2}} u_{1}$ for any $u_{T}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ and let $n_{\Gamma_{T}}=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ be the unit outward normal to $\Gamma_{T}$. Then, according to Ref. 5 , the mapping $\gamma_{\tau}^{T} u_{T}=$ $u_{1} n_{2}-u_{2} n_{1}$, which is defined on ${\overline{C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)}}^{2}$, extends uniquely in a continuous linear application $\gamma_{\tau}^{T}$ from $H\left(\operatorname{curl}_{T} ; \Omega_{T}\right)=\left\{v \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}, \operatorname{curl}_{T} v \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)\right\}$ endowed with its usual topology, onto $H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)$. Next, for any $u \in H$ (curl; $\Omega$ ), a simple computation gives

$$
\mathcal{F}_{x_{3}}(\operatorname{curl} u)\left(x_{T}, p\right)=\operatorname{curl}_{p} \hat{u}\left(x_{T}, p\right) \quad \text { a.e. }\left(x_{T}, p\right) \in \Omega,
$$

with $\operatorname{curl}_{p} u=\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{3}+i p u_{2},-i p u_{1}-\partial_{x_{1}} u_{3}, \operatorname{curl}_{T} u_{T}\right)$. For each real $p$, we deduce from (1.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{curl}_{p} u, v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}}-\left(u, \operatorname{curl}_{p} v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}} \\
& \quad=-\left\langle\gamma_{\tau}^{T} u_{T}, \gamma_{0}^{T} v_{3}\right\rangle_{H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right), H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)}+\left\langle\gamma_{0}^{T} u_{3}, \gamma_{\tau}^{T} v_{T}\right\rangle_{H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right), H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)}, \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $u$ and $v$ in $H\left(\operatorname{curl}_{T} ; \Omega_{T}\right) \times H^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$, where $\gamma_{0}^{T}$ is the trace function from $H^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ onto $H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)$. Thus, for any $u \in H(\operatorname{curl} ; \Omega)$, the "Green formula" (2.2) warrants:

$$
\left(\gamma_{\tau} u=0\right) \Leftrightarrow\left(\gamma_{\tau}^{T} \hat{u}_{T}(\cdot, p)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{0}^{T} \hat{u}_{3}(\cdot, p)=0 \quad \text { a.e. } p \in \mathbb{R}\right) .
$$

This leads to define the "reduced operators" of $M$, called $M_{p}, p \in \mathbb{R}$, as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D\left(M_{p}\right)=\left\{u \in W_{p}, \mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_{p} u \in H\left(\operatorname{curl}_{T} ; \Omega_{T}\right) \times H^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)\right\} \\
\forall u \in D\left(M_{p}\right), M_{p} u=\varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_{p}\left(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_{p} u\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $H_{\varepsilon, p}$ denotes the space $\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}, \partial_{x_{1}}\left(\varepsilon u_{1}\right)+\partial_{x_{2}}\left(\varepsilon u_{2}\right)-i p \varepsilon u_{3}=0\right\}$ endowed with the $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; \varepsilon d x_{T}\right)^{3}$ scalar product, and $W_{p}=H_{\varepsilon, p} \cap\left(\operatorname{ker} \gamma_{\tau}^{T} \times H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)\right)$. Thus, operator $M$ is unitarily equivalent to the direct integral along $\mathbb{R}$ whose fibers are $M_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\mathcal{F}_{x_{3}}^{*}\left(\int_{p \in \mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} M_{p} d p\right) \mathcal{F}_{x_{3}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, fix $p$ in $\mathbb{R}$. The Green formula (2.2) shows that $M_{p}$ is a symmetric operator, and, for any $u \in D\left(M_{p}\right)$, we have in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{p} u, u\right)_{H_{\varepsilon, p}}=\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{curl}_{p} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}}^{2} \geq c_{\min }^{2}\left\|\operatorname{curl}_{p} u\right\|_{H_{\varepsilon, p}}^{2} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, a simple computation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\operatorname{curl}_{p} u\right\|_{H_{\varepsilon, p}}^{2}= & \left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} \nabla_{T} u_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} \operatorname{curl}_{T} u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)}^{2} \\
& -2 p \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(\varepsilon u_{T}, \nabla_{T} u_{3}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}\right)+p^{2}\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\nabla_{T} u_{3}=\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{3}, \partial_{x_{2}} u_{3}\right)$. But, as $u \in H_{\varepsilon, p}$, we have $\left(\varepsilon u_{T}, \nabla_{T} u_{3}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}=$ $-i p\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} u_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)}^{2}$, so we finally get

$$
\left(M_{p} u, u\right)_{H_{\varepsilon, p}} \geq c_{\min }^{2} p^{2}\|u\|_{H_{\varepsilon, p}}^{2} \quad \text { with } \quad c_{\min }^{2}=\inf _{x_{T} \in \Omega_{T}}(\varepsilon \mu)^{-1}\left(x_{T}\right) .
$$

This inequality shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p} \text { is bounded from below by } c_{\min }^{2} p^{2} \text { for any } p \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2. Dispersion curves of $M$

C. Weber proved in Ref. 17 that the imbedding $W_{p} \hookrightarrow H_{\varepsilon, p}$ is compact for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $M_{p}, p \in \mathbb{R}$, is a self-adjoint operator with compact resolvent in $H_{\varepsilon, p}$. Its spectrum, $\sigma\left(M_{p}\right)$, is also discrete and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(M_{p}\right)=\left\{\Lambda_{n}(p), n \geq 1\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Lambda_{n}(p) \leq \Lambda_{n+1}(p)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. According to (2.6), the dispersion curves $\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfy in addition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \geq 1, \forall p \in \mathbb{R}, \Lambda_{n}(p) \geq c_{\min }^{2} p^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, these curves cannot reach 0 because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{1}(0)>0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, assume for the moment that $\Lambda_{1}(0)=0$. Then, according to (2.5), any eigenvector $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{T}, \varphi_{3}\right)$ of $M_{0}$ associated to $\Lambda_{1}(0)$ satisfies $\nabla_{T} \varphi_{3}=0$ and $\operatorname{curl}_{T} \varphi_{T}=0$ in the same time. Furthermore, $\varphi_{3}$ belongs to $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$, so $\varphi_{3}=0$. Next, as $\varphi_{T} \in \operatorname{ker} \gamma_{\tau}^{T}$, it derives from the Poincaré lemma detailed in Ref. 7, that $\varphi_{T}=\nabla_{T} f$ for some $f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$. But $\varphi$ is in $W_{0}$, so $\varphi_{T}$ belongs to the space $H_{\varepsilon, T}$ defined by

$$
H_{\varepsilon, T}=\left\{u_{T}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}, \operatorname{div}_{T}\left(\varepsilon u_{T}\right)=\partial_{x_{1}}\left(\varepsilon u_{1}\right)+\partial_{x_{2}}\left(\varepsilon u_{2}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

Therefore, the following Weyl-Hodge orthogonal decomposition given in Refs. 10 and 15 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; \varepsilon d x_{T}\right)^{2}=H_{\varepsilon, T} \oplus \nabla_{T} H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

asserts that $\varphi_{T}=0$. This finally shows that $\Lambda_{1}(0)>0$.
Now, using (2.9), we will prove the following essential property for the proof of a limiting absorption principle for $M$.

Lemma 2.1. The dispersion curves cannot be arbitrarily near 0 :

$$
\inf _{(n, p) \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}} \Lambda_{n}(p)>0
$$

Proof. Fix $p$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and $u=\left(u_{T}, u_{3}\right)$ in $D\left(M_{p}\right)$. Then, the decomposition (2.10) assigns $u_{T}=v_{T}+\nabla_{T} f$ with $v_{T} \in H_{\varepsilon, T}$ and $f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$. As $u \in H_{\varepsilon, p}$ and $v_{T} \in H_{\varepsilon, T}$, we also have

$$
\left(\operatorname{div}_{T}\left(\varepsilon\left(u_{T}-v_{T}\right)\right), f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)}=-\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left(u_{T}-v_{T}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2}=i p\left(\varepsilon u_{3}, f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)}
$$

Next, using the Cauchy-Schwarz and Poincaré inequalities, we get

$$
\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left(u_{T}-v_{T}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2} \leq C|p|\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} u_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)}\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} \nabla_{T} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depends only on $\Omega_{T}$. This immediately gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left(u_{T}-v_{T}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}} \leq C|p|\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} u_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, recall that the MaxMin principle warrants $\Lambda_{1}(0)=\inf _{w \in W_{0}, w \neq 0} \frac{\left(M_{0} w, w\right)_{H_{\varepsilon, 0}}}{\|w\|_{H_{\varepsilon, 0}}^{2}}$. Thus, as $v_{T}$ is in $\operatorname{ker} \gamma_{\tau}^{T} \cap H_{\varepsilon, T}$, the vector $v=\left(v_{T}, 0\right)$ belongs to $W_{0}$, so we get

$$
\frac{\left(M_{0} v, v\right)_{H_{\varepsilon, 0}}}{\|v\|_{H_{\varepsilon, 0}}^{2}}=\frac{\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{curl}_{T} v_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)}^{2}}{\left\|v_{T}\right\|_{H_{\varepsilon, T}}^{2}} \geq \Lambda_{1}(0)
$$

when $v_{T} \neq 0$. Therefore, by setting $c_{\max }^{2}=\sup _{x_{T} \in \Omega_{T}}(\varepsilon \mu)^{-1}\left(x_{T}\right)$ and $r=$ $c_{\max }^{-1} \Lambda_{1}(0)^{1 / 2}$, it finally appears that

$$
\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} \operatorname{curl}_{T} v_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)} \geq r\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} v_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}
$$

with, according to (2.9), $r>0$. As $\operatorname{curl}_{T} u_{T}=\operatorname{curl}_{T} v_{T}$, we also derive from (2.11) that

$$
\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} \operatorname{curl}_{T} u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)} \geq r\left(\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}-C|p|\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} u_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)}\right)
$$

Thus, we have $\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} \operatorname{curl}_{T} u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)}^{2} \geq r^{2}\left(\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2}-C|p|\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}}^{2}\right)$ for a sufficiently small $p$, and we deduce from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{p} u, u\right)_{H_{\varepsilon, p}} \geq c_{\min }^{2}\left(K^{2}-r^{2} C|p|\right)\left\|\varepsilon^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}}^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some strictly positive constant $K$. At last, Lemma 2.1 follows immediately from (2.8) and (2.12).

### 2.3. Analyticity of the dispersion curves

The equalities (2.3) and (2.7) involve that $M$ is unitarily equivalent to the multiplication operator by $\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ in a convenient space. Using the MaxMin principle, we show in Ref. 15 that any function $p \mapsto \Lambda_{n}(p), n \geq 1$, is odd, continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ and a.e. differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^{*}$. However, the proof of this result requires so many worrying computations that we prefer to ignore them in this paper, although Lemma 2.1 is slightly more complicated to justify without any assumption of continuity of the dispersion curves. Moreover, in view of proving a limiting absorption principle for $M$, we need "analytic properties" and not only continuity for $p \mapsto \Lambda_{n}(p), n \geq 1$. Thus, we will show that $\left(\Lambda_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ can be rearranged in a family of analytic functions. To make this, we first generalize the definition of $M_{p}$ to complex parameter $p$. In this case, the Green formula (2.2) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{curl}_{p} u, v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}}-\left(u, \operatorname{curl}_{\bar{p}} v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}} \\
& \quad=\left\langle\gamma_{\tau}^{T} u_{T}, \gamma_{0}^{T} v_{3}\right\rangle_{H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right), H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)}-\left\langle\gamma_{0}^{T} u_{3}, \gamma_{\tau}^{T} v_{T}\right\rangle_{H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right), H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{T}\right)}, \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and the sesquilinear form associated to $M_{p}$ is also

$$
m_{p}(u, v)=\left(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_{p} u, \operatorname{curl}_{\bar{p}} v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}}
$$

Its domain is $D\left(m_{p}\right)=W_{p}$, and, for any $u \in D\left(m_{p}\right)$, we get in the same way as with (2.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{p}(u, u)= & \left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} \nabla_{T} u_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{curl}_{T} u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)}^{2} \\
& -2 p \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(\mu^{-1} u_{T}, \nabla_{T} u_{3}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}\right)+p^{2}\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, for any fixed $u \in D\left(m_{p}\right), p \mapsto m_{p}(u, u)$ is holomorphic. But, $D\left(m_{p}\right)$ is a subset of $H_{\varepsilon, p}$, so it depends on $p$. Therefore, the holomorphic family $\left\{M_{p}, p \in \mathbb{C}\right\}$ is not of type (B) in Kato's sense defined in VII.4.4 of Ref. 8, and we cannot conclude directly that the dispersion curves of $M$ are real analytic functions as in acoustics (see Ref. 3) or in elasticity (see Ref. 2). However, we will prove with the next proposition that this result remains valid all the same.

Proposition 2.1. There are two analytic families with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $\left\{\lambda_{n}(p), n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{\varphi_{n}(p), n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right\}$, such that, for any real $p$ :
(i) $\sigma\left(M_{p}\right)=\left\{\lambda_{n}(p), n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right\}$ and $\varphi_{n}(p), n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, is an eigenfunction of $M_{p}$ associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}(p)$,
(ii) $\left\{\varphi_{n}(p), n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H_{\varepsilon, p}$.

Proof. Let $A$ denote the operator $M \oplus \underline{0}$ associated to the Weyl-Hodge orthogonal decomposition $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \varepsilon d x_{T} d x_{3}\right)^{3}=H_{\varepsilon} \oplus \nabla H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of Ref. 10. The operator $A$ is self-adjoint in $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \varepsilon d x_{T} d x_{3}\right)^{3}$, and we immediately have $A=\mathcal{F}_{x_{3}}^{*}\left(\int_{p \in \mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} A_{p} d p\right) \mathcal{F}_{x_{3}}$, with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D\left(A_{p}\right)=\left\{u \in \operatorname{ker} \gamma_{\tau}^{T} \times H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right), \mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_{p} u \in H\left(\operatorname{curl}_{T} ; \Omega_{T}\right) \times H^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)\right\} \\
\forall u \in D\left(A_{p}\right), A_{p} u=\varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_{p}\left(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_{p} u\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$. This definition can be easily generalized to complex parameters $p$. According to (2.13), the sesquilinear form associated to $A_{p}$ is defined by $a_{p}(u, v)=$ $\left(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_{p} u, \operatorname{curl}_{\bar{p}} v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}}$ for any $u$ and $v$ in $D\left(a_{p}\right)=\operatorname{ker} \gamma_{\tau}^{T} \times H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$. It is continuous on $D\left(a_{p}\right)^{2}$, and we have:
$\forall u \in D\left(a_{p}\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{p}(u, u)\right)=\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{curl}_{\operatorname{Re}(p)} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}}^{2}-\operatorname{Im}(p)^{2}\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} u_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2}$.
It follows that the real part of $a_{p}$ is $\left(\operatorname{ker} \gamma_{\tau}^{T} \times H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)\right)$-coercitive with respect to $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; \varepsilon d x_{T}\right)^{3}$ and $a_{p}$ is also a closed sectorial sesquilinear form. Next, as we have already remarked for $m_{p}, p \mapsto a_{p}(u, u)$ is holomorphic for any fixed $u \in D\left(a_{p}\right)$. Moreover, $D\left(a_{p}\right)$ does not depend on $p$ anymore, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{a_{p}, p \in \mathbb{C}\right\} \text { is a holomorphic family of type (a) in Kato's sense. } \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using the Green formula (2.13), we get $\left(a_{p}\right)^{*}=a_{\bar{p}}$, and it derives from (2.15) that
$\left\{A_{p}, p \in \mathbb{C}\right\}$ is a holomorphic family of self-adjoint operators of type (B).

Next, for any real $p$, the orthogonal decomposition (2.10) together with the Poincaré lemma lead to $\operatorname{ker} A_{p}=\nabla_{p} H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)=\left\{\left(\partial_{x_{1}} \varphi, \partial_{x_{2}} \varphi,-i p \varphi\right), \varphi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)\right\}$. The continuous spectrum of $A_{p}$ is also $\{0\}$ and the discrete spectrum, $\sigma_{d}\left(A_{p}\right)$, is equal to $\sigma\left(M_{p}\right)$. Finally, Lemma 2.1 warrants that $\sigma_{d}\left(A_{p}\right)$ does not vanish in the continuous spectrum. So, according to (2.16), the final result can be derived (see Ref. 15) from Remark 4.22 and Theorem 3.9 of Ref. 8 about holomorphic family of self-adjoint operators with compact resolvent.

### 2.4. Asymptotic properties of $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$

For any $n \geq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\lambda_{n}(p) \geq \Lambda_{1}(p)$, thus, it arises from (2.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \geq 1, \quad \lim _{|p| \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}(p)=+\infty . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by writing $a_{p}\left(\varphi_{n}(p)\right)$ instead of $a_{p}\left(\varphi_{n}(p), \varphi_{n}(p)\right)$, the property (2.16) together with the problem VII.4.19 of Ref. 8 warrant that $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}(p)=a_{p}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{n}(p)\right)$. Also, it follows from (2.14) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{n}^{\prime}(p)= & 2\left[p\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{T}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(\mu^{-1}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{T}(p), \nabla_{T}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{3}(p)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}\right)\right] . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, the expression of $a_{p}\left(\varphi_{n}(p)\right)$ simply gives

$$
\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^{*},\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}(p)}{p}\right)^{\prime}=\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{T}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}-p^{-2} g(p),
$$

with $g(p)=\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{curl}_{T}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{T}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} \nabla_{T}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{3}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2}$. Next, the Poincaré lemma warrants that $g(p)>0$ for any $p \neq 0$, so we finally have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^{*},\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}(p)}{p}\right)^{\prime}<c_{\max }^{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we will now see with Proposition 2.2, this inequality is very useful to describe the behavior of the family $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2.2. The dispersion curves are uniformly converging to infinity as $n$ goes to infinity:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\inf _{p \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda_{n}(p)\right)=+\infty
$$

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$. For any $p \in \mathbb{R}$, we deduce from (2.18) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{n}^{\prime}(p)\right| \leq(2|p|+1)\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{T}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} \nabla_{T}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{3}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $a_{p}\left(\varphi_{n}(p)\right)=\left(A_{p} \varphi_{n}(p), \varphi_{n}(p)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}}=\lambda_{n}(p)$, and it can be derived from (2.14) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mu^{-1 / 2}\left(\nabla_{T}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{3}(p)+i p\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{T}(p)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2} \\
& \quad=\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{curl}_{p} \varphi_{n}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}}^{2}-\left\|\operatorname{curl}_{T}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{T}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, as $a_{p}\left(\varphi_{n}(p)\right)=\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{curl}_{p} \varphi_{n}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{3}}^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2}\left(\nabla_{T}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{3}(p)+i p\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{T}(p)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2}=\lambda_{n}(p)-\left\|\operatorname{curl}_{T}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{T}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}^{2} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$.
Next, $\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2}\left(\nabla_{T}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{3}(p)+i p\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{T}(p)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}+|p|\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{T}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}$ is greater than $\left\|\mu^{-1 / 2} \nabla_{T}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{3}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)^{2}}$, and it also follows from (2.20) and (2.21) that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in \mathbb{R},\left|\lambda_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq c_{\max }^{2}\left(1+2|t|+2 t^{2}\right)+2 \lambda_{n}(t) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that $p>0$, the case $p<0$ being treated in the same way. For any $q \in[0, p]$, we have $\left|\lambda_{n}(q)-\lambda_{n}(0)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{q}\left|\lambda_{n}(t)^{\prime}\right| d t$, so the integration of (2.22) along $[0, q]$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{n}(q)-\lambda_{n}(0)\right| \leq c_{\max }^{2}\left(1+q+\frac{2}{3} q^{2}\right)+2 \lambda_{n}(0) q+2 \int_{0}^{q}\left|\lambda_{n}(t)-\lambda_{n}(0)\right| d t . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By setting $f(q)=e^{-2 q} \int_{0}^{q}\left|\lambda_{n}(t)-\lambda_{n}(0)\right| d t$, this inequality becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall q \in[0, p], f^{\prime}(q) \leq c_{\max }^{2} q e^{-2 q}\left(1+q+\frac{2}{3} q^{2}\right)+2 \lambda_{n}(0) q e^{-2 q} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by integrating (2.24) along [0, $p]$, we get

$$
\int_{0}^{p}\left|\lambda_{n}(q)-\lambda_{n}(0)\right| d q \leq e^{2 p}\left(c_{\max }^{2} \int_{0}^{p} q e^{-2 q}\left(1+q+\frac{2}{3} q^{2}\right) d q+2 \lambda_{n}(0) \int_{0}^{p} q e^{-2 q} d q\right)
$$

and, if we replace $\int_{0}^{p}\left|\lambda_{n}(q)-\lambda_{n}(0)\right| d q$ by its upper bound in (2.23), we finally obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \lambda_{n}(p) & -\lambda_{n}(0) \mid \\
\leq & c_{\max }^{2}\left\{|p|+p^{2}+\frac{2}{3}|p|^{3}+2 e^{2|p|}\left|\int_{0}^{p} e^{-2|t|}\left(|t|+t^{2}+\frac{2}{3}|t|^{3}\right) d t\right|\right\} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n}(0)\left(|p|+2 e^{2|p|} \int_{0}^{p} t e^{-2|t|} d t\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

To prove Proposition 2.2 now, assume for the moment that a real sequence $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \geq 1, \quad \lambda_{n}\left(p_{n}\right) \leq M \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be found. In this case, $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ converges to 0 . Indeed, we could otherwise find $\eta>0$ and a subsequence $\left(p_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ of $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$, such that $\left|p_{n_{k}}\right|>\eta$ for any $k \geq 1$. Then, by setting $P=c_{\min }^{-1} M^{1 / 2}$, it would also follow from (2.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall k \geq 1, \quad \eta<\left|p_{n_{k}}\right| \leq P . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead of considering a subsequence of $\left(p_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \geq 1}$, we may assume that the $p_{n_{k}}$, $k \geq 1$, are all positive or negative numbers. If $p_{n_{1}}>0$, for example, we have $0<p_{n_{k}}<P$ for any $k \geq 1$, and (2.19) implies

$$
\frac{\lambda_{n_{k}}(P)-c_{\max }^{2} P^{2}}{P}<\frac{\lambda_{n_{k}}\left(p_{n_{k}}\right)-c_{\max }^{2} p_{n_{k}}^{2}}{p_{n_{k}}} .
$$

Thus, we derive immediately from (2.26) and (2.27):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall k \geq 1, \quad \frac{\lambda_{n_{k}}(P)-c_{\max }^{2} P^{2}}{P}<M \eta^{-1}+c_{\max }^{2} P \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, this inequality is not possible because $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n_{k}}(P)=+\infty$. The same method applies to a negative $p_{n_{1}}$. We have also proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n}=0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we derive from (2.25) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\lambda_{n}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\lambda_{n}(0)}-1\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{c_{\max }^{2}}{\lambda_{n}(0)}\left\{\left|p_{n}\right|+p_{n}^{2}+\frac{2}{3}\left|p_{n}\right|^{3}+2 e^{2\left|p_{n}\right|}\left|\int_{0}^{p_{n}} e^{-2|t|}\left(|t|+t^{2}+\frac{2}{3}|t|^{3}\right) d t\right|\right\} \\
& \quad+2\left(\left|p_{n}\right|+2 e^{2\left|p_{n}\right|} \int_{0}^{p_{n}} t e^{-2|t|} d t\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, as $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}(0)=+\infty$, the inequality (2.26) involves $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\lvert\, \frac{\lambda_{n}\left(p_{n}\right)}{\lambda_{n}(0)}-\right.$ $1 \mid=1$. But (2.29) proves that the second term of (2.30) tends to 0 as $n$ goes to infinity. This contradiction shows that assumption (2.26) is wrong. Therefore, Proposition 2.2 follows.

### 2.5. Spectral representation and thresholds of $M$

For any $(n, p) \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \times \mathbb{R}$, the function $\phi_{n}(p):\left(x_{T}, x_{3}\right) \mapsto(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} \varphi_{n}\left(x_{T}\right) e^{i p x_{3}}$ defined on $\Omega$, is a generalized eigenfunction of $M$. Indeed, $\phi_{n}(p)$ belongs to $D(M)_{\text {loc }}=\left\{u,\left(x_{T}, x_{3}\right) \mapsto \psi\left(x_{3}\right) u\left(x_{T}, x_{3}\right) \in D(M), \forall \psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right\}$, and it satisfies in addition

$$
\left(\mathcal{M} \phi_{n}(p)\right)(x)=\lambda_{n}(p)\left(\phi_{n}(p)\right)(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega
$$

Moreover, for every $f$ belonging to $H_{\varepsilon}$,

$$
X \mapsto \int_{\left\{\left(x_{T}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega,\left|x_{3}\right|<X\right\}} \varepsilon\left(x_{T}\right)\left(f\left(x_{T}, x_{3}\right),\left(\phi_{n}(p)\right)\left(x_{T}, x_{3}\right)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^{3}} d x_{T} d x_{3}
$$

has a limit $\tilde{f}_{n}(p)$ in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ as $X$ tends to infinity, and it can be derived from (2.3) that $\mathcal{U}: f \mapsto\left(\tilde{f}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is a unitary transform from $H_{\varepsilon}$ onto $\oplus_{n \geq 1} L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ (see Ref. 15). The set $\left\{\phi_{n}(p),(n, p) \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \times \mathbb{R}\right\}$ is also a complete family in $H_{\varepsilon}$. At last, $\mathcal{U}$ reduces
the operator $M$, because $\mathcal{U} M \mathcal{U}^{*}$ is the multiplication operator $L$ in $Y=\oplus_{n \geq 1} L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by the family $\left(\lambda_{n}(p)\right)_{p \geq 1}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D(L)=\left\{\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \in Y, \quad\left(\lambda_{n} f_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \in Y\right\} \\
\forall f=\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \in Y, \quad L f=\left(\lambda_{n} f_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In particular, we deduce from Ref. 2 :
Proposition 2.3. The spectrum of $M$ is absolutely continuous and

$$
\sigma(M)=\overline{\left\{\lambda_{n}(p), p \in \mathbb{R}, n \geq 1\right\}}
$$

Furthermore, the spectral theory yields as in Ref. 5 that $R_{M}(z)=\mathcal{U}^{*} R_{L}(z) \mathcal{U}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall z \in \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}, \forall(f, g) \in H_{\varepsilon}^{2},\left(R_{M}(z) f, g\right)_{H_{\varepsilon}}=\sum_{n \geq 1} r_{n}(z) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{n}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-z} d p$ with $h_{n}(p)=\tilde{f}_{n}(p) \overline{\tilde{g}_{n}(p)}, n \geq 1, p \in \mathbb{R}$.
Recalling (2.18), we remark that the sign of $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}(p), n \geq 1$, is not easily predictable for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, contrary to the acoustic operator (see Ref. 1), it is not yet known if the dispersion curves of $M$ are monotone on $\mathbb{R}_{ \pm}^{*}$. But, Proposition 2.1 and (2.17) warrant that every $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}, n \geq 1$, is a non-uniformly vanishing analytic function on $\mathbb{R}$. Hence, the set $\mathcal{P}_{n}=\left\{p \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}(p)=0\right\}$ is discrete for any $n \geq 1$ and it is also at most countable. Call $N_{n}^{+}$the cardinal of $\mathcal{P}_{n} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}$and set $J_{n}^{+}=\left\{j \in \mathbb{N}, j<N_{n}^{+}\right\}$. There is a strictly increasing function $j \mapsto p_{j}^{n}$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{n} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}=\left\{p_{j}^{n}, j \in J_{n}^{+}\right\}$. When $N_{n}^{+}$is finite, we set $p_{N_{n}^{+}}=+\infty$ and $\bar{J}_{n}^{+}=J_{n}^{+} \cup\left\{N_{n}^{+}\right\}$. Otherwise, $\bar{J}_{n}^{+}$ denotes simply $J_{n}^{+}$. In the same way, we define $N_{n}^{-}$as the cardinal of $\mathcal{P}_{n} \cap \mathbb{R}_{-}^{*}$ and $J_{n}^{-}$as the set $\left\{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{-}^{*}, j \geq-N_{n}^{-}\right\}$. Again, there is a strictly increasing function $j \mapsto p_{j}^{n}$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{n} \cap \mathbb{R}_{-}^{*}=\left\{p_{j}^{n}, j \in J_{n}^{-}\right\}$. Next, we set $p_{-N_{n}^{-1}}=-\infty$ and $\bar{J}_{n}^{-}=\left\{-N_{n}^{-}-1\right\} \cup J_{n}^{-}$when $N_{n}^{-}$is finite, and $\bar{J}_{n}^{-}=J_{n}^{-}$otherwise. Recalling all these notations, the set $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ is equal to $\left\{p_{j}^{n}, j \in J_{n}\right\}$ with $J_{n}=J_{n}^{-} \cup J_{n}^{+}$, and we have $p_{j}^{n}<p_{j+1}^{n}$ for any $(j, j+1) \in J_{n}^{2}$.

Each real number $\lambda_{j}^{n}=\lambda_{n}\left(p_{j}^{n}\right), j \in J_{n}, n \geq 1$, is a "threshold" of $M$, and the set of all the thresholds, $\left\{\lambda_{j}^{n}, n \geq 1, j \in J_{n}\right\}$, is denoted by $\mathcal{T}$. In fact, this approach generalizes the usual definition of the thresholds of the acoustic operator used in Ref. 3. Every $p_{j}^{n}, n \geq 1$ and $j \in J_{n}$, is also a zero of $p \mapsto \lambda_{n}(p)-\lambda_{j}^{n}$ with multiplicity $N_{j}^{n} \geq 2$. Furthermore, for any $n \geq 1$ and $j \in \overline{J_{n}}=\left\{-N_{n}^{-}-1\right\} \cup J_{n}$, $\lambda_{n}$ is an analytic diffeomorphism from $] p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}\left[\right.$ onto $I_{j}^{n}=\lambda_{n}(] p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[)$. In the following, its inverse function is denoted by $\xi_{j}^{n}$.

## 3. Limiting Absorption Principle for the Maxwell Operator

### 3.1. Description of the method

For any real $s$, the space $H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$ defined by

$$
H_{\varepsilon}^{s}=H_{\varepsilon} \cap\left\{u \text { is measurable, }\left(x_{T}, x_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(1+x_{3}^{2}\right)^{s / 2} u\left(x_{T}, x_{3}\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right\}
$$

is Hilbertian for the scalar product of $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \varepsilon\left(1+x_{3}^{2}\right)^{s} d x_{T} d x_{3}\right)^{3}$. When $s>0$, the imbeddings $H_{\varepsilon}^{-s} \hookrightarrow H_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$ are continuous, so $z \mapsto R_{M}^{ \pm}(z)=R_{M}(z)$ can be seen as an analytic function from $\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$into $B\left(H_{\varepsilon}^{s}, H_{\varepsilon}^{-s}\right)$. For any compact subset $K$ of $\mathbb{C}$, we will prove in the following that this resolvent function $R_{M}^{ \pm}$can be extended continuously to $K \cap \overline{\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}}$.

The method consists of finding two real positive numbers $\delta$ and $C_{M}$, both independent of the functions $f$ and $g$ in $H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$ for some convenient $s>0$, which verify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(R_{M}^{ \pm}\left(z^{\prime}\right) f, g\right)_{H_{\varepsilon}}-\left(R_{M}^{ \pm}(z) f, g\right)_{H_{\varepsilon}}\right| \leq C_{M}\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\delta} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ in $K \cap \overline{\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}}$. Next, as $H_{\varepsilon}^{-s} \subset\left(H_{\varepsilon}^{s}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\langle u, v\rangle_{\left(H_{\varepsilon}^{s}\right)^{\prime}, H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}=(u, v)_{H_{\varepsilon}}$ for any $u \in H_{\varepsilon}^{-s}$ and $v \in H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$, it follows readily from (3.32):

$$
\forall\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in\left(K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}\right)^{2},\left\|R_{M}^{ \pm}\left(z^{\prime}\right) f-R_{M}^{ \pm}(z) f\right\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{-s}} \leq C_{M}\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}
$$

At last, $K$ being a closed set, the general extension theorem of uniformly continuous functions finally involves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in\left(K \cap \overline{\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}}\right)^{2},\left\|R_{M}^{ \pm}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-R_{M}^{ \pm}(z)\right\|_{B\left(H_{\varepsilon}^{s}, H_{\varepsilon}^{-s}\right)} \leq c\left|z^{\prime}-z\right|^{\delta}, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $R_{M}^{ \pm}$is also continuous on $K \cap \overline{\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}}$. Let us see now that (3.32) can actually be satisfied by convenient functions $f$ and $g$ in $H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$.

### 3.2. Singular Cauchy integrals

Recalling (2.31), any function $r_{n}, n \geq 1$, also has to be extended continuously to $K \cap \overline{\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}}, K$ being a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. But, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{K}=\{n \geq$ $\left.1, \lambda_{n}^{-1}(K \cap \mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset\right\}$, the corresponding Cauchy integral $z \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-z} d p$ may be "singular" on $K \cap \mathbb{R}$.

However, this is not the case when $n$ belongs to $\mathbb{N}^{*} \backslash \mathbb{N}_{K}$. Indeed, as $(p, z) \mapsto$ $\left|\lambda_{n}(p)-z\right|$ is continuous on the compact set $(K \cap \mathbb{R}) \times K$, we have $\inf \left\{\left|\lambda_{n}(p)-z\right|, p \in\right.$ $\mathbb{R}, z \in K\}>0$. Moreover, according to (2.17), $\lambda_{n}^{-1}(K \cap \mathbb{R})$ is bounded, thus we deduce from Proposition 2.2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\inf _{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \backslash \mathbb{N}_{K}}\left\{\left|\lambda_{n}(p)-z\right|, p \in \mathbb{R}, z \in K\right\}>0 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, taking $f$ and $g$ in $H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$ for some $s>1 / 2$, it is proved in Ref. 3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \geq 1, \forall p \in \mathbb{R},\left|h_{n}(p)\right| \leq A\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $A$ depends neither on $f$ nor $g$. Hence, the Parseval equality combined with (3.34) and (3.35) proves that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \backslash \mathbb{N}_{K}} r_{n}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function on $K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$, because we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\forall\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in\left(K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}, \\
\left|\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \backslash \mathbb{N}_{K}} r_{n}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \backslash \mathbb{N}_{K}} r_{n}(z)\right| \leq \frac{A\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}}{d^{2}}\left|z^{\prime}-z\right| . \tag{3.36}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let study now the case $n \in \mathbb{N}_{K}$. For any $z \in K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$, the complex number $r_{n}(z)$ decomposes simply in $\sum_{j \in \overline{J_{n}}} r_{n}^{j}(z)$, with $r_{n}^{j}(z)=\int_{p_{j}^{n}}^{p_{j+1}^{n}} \frac{h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-z} d p$. This leads to introduce the set $J_{n}^{K}=\left\{j \in \overline{J_{n}}, K \cap \overline{I_{j}^{n}} \neq \emptyset\right\}$, because we know that $\inf \left\{\left|\lambda_{n}(p)-z\right|, p \in \overline{p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[ }, z \in K\right\}>0$ for any $j$ in $\overline{J_{n}} \backslash J_{n}^{K}$. Indeed, this inequality, which is obvious when $\left[p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}\right]$ is bounded, follows directly from (2.17) when $p_{j}^{n}=-\infty$ or $p_{j+1}^{n}=+\infty$. Furthermore, for any fixed $X>0$, the set $\{j \in$ $\left.\overline{J_{n}},\left|p_{j}^{n}\right| \leq X\right\}$ is at most a finite, and $\lambda_{n}^{-1}(K \cap \mathbb{R})$ is bounded, so we get

$$
d_{n}=\inf \left\{\left|\lambda_{n}(p)-z\right|, j \in \overline{J_{n}} \backslash J_{n}^{K}, p \in \overline{] p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}}[, z \in K\}>0 .\right.
$$

Hence, (3.35) and the Parseval equality involve in the same way as with (3.36):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\forall\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in\left(K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}, \\
\left|\sum_{j \in \overline{J_{n} \backslash J_{n}^{K}}} r_{n}^{j}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{j \in \overline{J_{n}} \backslash J_{n}^{K}} r_{n}^{j}(z)\right| \leq \frac{A\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}}{d_{n}^{2}}\left|z^{\prime}-z\right| . \tag{3.37}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, taking account of (2.17) and Proposition 2.2, we remark that $\mathbb{N}_{K}$ and $J_{n}^{K}$, for $n$ in $\mathbb{N}_{K}$, are both at most finite sets. Therefore, it is sufficient to examine $z \mapsto r_{n}^{j}(z)$ for some fixed $n$ in $\mathbb{N}_{K}$ and $j \in J_{n}^{K}$. In this case, by setting $\lambda=\lambda_{n}(p)$ in the expression of $r_{n}^{j}(z)$, for $z \in K \cap \mathbb{C}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}^{j}(z)=\int_{I_{j}^{n}} \frac{H_{j}^{n}(\lambda)}{\lambda-z} d \lambda \quad \text { with } \quad H_{j}^{n}(\lambda)=\frac{\left(h_{n} \circ \xi_{j}^{n}\right)(\lambda)}{\left(\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \circ \xi_{j}^{n}\right)(\lambda)} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of extending $z \mapsto r_{n}^{j}(z)$ to $K \cap \mathbb{R}$, we will refer to the following corollary of the Korn-Privaloff theorem (see Ref. 6 or 11):

Lemma 3.1. Let $\delta \in\left[0,1\left[,(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right.\right.$ with $a<b$, and $h$ be a $\delta$-Hölder continuous function on the compact set $[a, b]$ :

$$
\exists A_{h} \geq 0, \forall\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \in[a, b]^{2},\left|h\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)-h(\lambda)\right| \leq A_{h}\left|\lambda^{\prime}-\lambda\right|^{\delta} .
$$

Assume that $h(a)=h(b)=0$ and set $\mathcal{H} h^{ \pm}(z)=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda-z} d \lambda$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$. Then, for every $\tau \in[a, b]$, the following limits exist

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \tau, \pm \operatorname{Im}(z)>0} \mathcal{H} h^{ \pm}(z)=\text { p.v. }\left(\int_{a}^{b} \frac{h(\lambda)}{\lambda-\tau} d \lambda\right) \pm i \pi h(\tau)
$$

and $\mathcal{H} h^{ \pm}$is locally $\delta$-Hölder continuous on $V^{ \pm}=\left\{z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}}, a \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq b\right\}$. Indeed, there is a continuous function $c$ on $\left(V^{ \pm}\right)^{2}$, that does not dependent on $h$, such that

$$
\forall\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in\left(V^{ \pm}\right)^{2},\left|\mathcal{H} h^{ \pm}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-\mathcal{H} h^{ \pm}(z)\right| \leq A_{h} c\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\left|z^{\prime}-z\right|^{\delta}
$$

Recalling (3.38), $z \mapsto r_{n}^{j}(z)$ can be extended continuously to the real axis with Lemma 3.1, when $H_{j}^{n}$ is a Hölder continuous function. This condition can be filled by choosing $f$ and $g$ in convenient $H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$-spaces. Indeed, when $s>1 / 2$, it is proved in

Ref. 3 that $h_{n}$ is locally Hölder continuous: For any $\delta \in[0,1]$ such that $\delta<s-1 / 2$, there is a continuous function $A_{n}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, independent of $f$ or $g$, such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2},\left|h_{n}\left(p^{\prime}\right)-h_{n}(p)\right| \leq A_{n}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|p^{\prime}-p\right|^{\delta} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, as $\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \circ \xi_{j}^{n}$ vanishes on $K \cap \mathcal{T}$, we will first examine the case of a compact set $K$ of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{T}$ (limiting absorption principle outside the thresholds). Next, a limiting absorption principle for $M$ in a neighborhood of the thresholds (limiting absorption principle at the thresholds) will be deduced.

### 3.3. Limiting absorption principle outside the thresholds

As mentioned earlier, we assume now that $K$ is a compact set of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{T}$, the functions $f$ and $g$ being in $H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$ for some $s>1 / 2$. In the following, $\delta$ denotes a real number in $[0,1]$ such that $\delta<s-1 / 2$, and we recall that $(n, j)$ belongs to $\mathbb{N}_{K} \times J_{n}^{K}$.

Now, as $K$ is a compact set of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{T}$, we can find some $\eta>0$ such that $\lambda^{-1}(K \cap$ $\mathbb{R}) \cap] p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[$ is included in $] p_{j}^{n}+3 \eta, p_{j+1}^{n}-3 \eta\left[\right.$. Next, consider $\beta_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(] p_{j}^{n}+$ $\eta, p_{j+1}^{n}-\eta[)$ such that $\beta_{0}(p)=1$ for any $p \in\left[p_{j}^{n}+2 \eta, p_{j+1}^{n}-2 \eta\right]$, and set $\beta_{1}(p)=$ $1-\beta_{0}(p)$ for every $p \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_{0}$ being 0 outside $] p_{j}^{n}+\eta, p_{j+1}^{n}-\eta[$. Then, for every $z \in K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}, r_{n}^{j}(z)$ decomposes in $r_{n}^{j, 0}(z)+r_{n}^{j, 1}(z)$ with $r_{n}^{j, k}(z)=\int_{p_{j}^{n}}^{p_{j+1}^{n}} \frac{\beta_{k}(p) h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-z} d p$, $k \in\{0,1\}$.

Also, by setting $\lambda=\lambda_{n}(p)$ in $r_{j, 0}^{n}(z)$, we get $r_{n}^{j, 0}(z)=\int_{I_{j}^{n}} \frac{\psi_{j}^{n}(\lambda)}{\lambda-z} d \lambda$, where $\psi_{j}^{n}=H_{j}^{n} \times\left(\beta_{0} \circ \xi_{j}^{n}\right)$. In fact, in the previous expression of $r_{n}^{j, 0}, I_{j}^{n}$ can be considered as a bounded set. Indeed, for any $z \in K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$, the complex number $r_{n}^{j, 0}(z)$ is not modified by eventually replacing $p_{j}^{n}$ by $\inf \left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\beta_{0}\right)\right)-\eta$ if $p_{j}^{n}=-\infty$, and $p_{j+1}^{n}$ by $\sup \left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\beta_{0}\right)\right)+\eta$ if $p_{j+1}^{n}=+\infty$. Moreover, $\operatorname{supp}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ is a compact subset of $] p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}\left[\right.$ and the derivative $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ does not vanish on $\operatorname{supp}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$, hence $p \mapsto \varphi_{0}(p)\left|\lambda_{n}^{\prime}(p)\right|^{-1}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function on $\operatorname{supp}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$. Next, $\bar{I}=\lambda_{n}\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\beta_{0}\right)\right)$ being a compact subset of $I_{j}^{n}, \xi_{j}^{n}$ is Lipschitz continuous on $\bar{I}$. Finally, it follows from (3.39) that $\psi_{j}^{n}$ is a $\delta$-Hölder continuous function on $\bar{I}$. Finally $\psi_{j}^{n}$ vanishes at $\overline{I_{j}^{n}} \backslash I_{j}^{n}$, so, according to Lemma 3.1, a constant $c_{n}^{j}$, independent of $f$ and $g$, can be found, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in\left(K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}\right)^{2},\left|r_{n}^{j, 0}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-r_{n}^{j, 0}(z)\right| \leq c_{n}^{j}\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\delta} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, $\lambda_{n}$ is a strictly monotone function on $] p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[$ and $\inf \{|p-q|, p \in$ $\operatorname{supp}\left(\beta_{1}\right), q \in \lambda_{n}^{-1}(K \cap \mathbb{R}) \cap \overline{p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[ \}} \geq \eta$, so we have

$$
\inf \left\{\left|\lambda_{n}(p)-z\right|, p \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\beta_{1}\right), z \in K \cap \overline{I_{j}^{n}}\right\}>0
$$

Therefore, $r_{n}^{j, 1}$ is Lipschitz continuous on $K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$. Moreover, $\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left|z^{\prime}-z\right|^{1-\delta}$ is continuous on the compact set $K^{2}$, so, according to (3.40), we can find a constant $C_{n}^{j}$ depending neither on $f$ nor $g$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in\left(K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}\right)^{2},\left|r_{n}^{j}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-r_{n}^{j}(z)\right| \leq C_{n}^{j}\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\delta} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for any $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ in $K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$, we deduce from (3.36), (3.37) and (3.41), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(R_{M}^{ \pm}\left(z^{\prime}\right) f, g\right)_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}-\left(R_{M}^{ \pm}(z) f, g\right)_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\right| \leq C_{M}\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}, \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{M}$ is independent of $f$ and $g$. As seen in Sec. 3.1, we finally have:
Theorem 3.1. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{T}$. Then, $z \mapsto R_{M}^{ \pm}(z)$ extends continuously to $K \cap \overline{\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}}$in a Hölder continuous function. Indeed, for any $\delta \in[0,1]$ satisfying $\delta<s-1 / 2$, a constant $C_{M}=C_{M}(s, \delta, K)$ can be found, such that

$$
\left\|R_{M}^{ \pm}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-R_{M}^{ \pm}(z)\right\|_{B\left(H_{\varepsilon}^{s}, H_{\varepsilon}^{-s}\right)} \leq C_{M}\left|z^{\prime}-z\right|^{\delta}, \forall z, z^{\prime} \in K \cap \overline{\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}}
$$

Moreover, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathcal{T},\{\tau\}$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{T}$. Thus, under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.1, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \lim _{z \rightarrow \tau, \pm \operatorname{Im}(z)>0}\left(R_{M}^{ \pm}(z) f, g\right)_{H_{\varepsilon}} \\
& \quad=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left\{p \cdot v \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-\tau} d p\right) \pm i \pi \sum_{q \in \lambda_{n}^{-1}(\{\tau\})} \frac{h_{n}(q)}{\left|\lambda_{n}^{\prime}(q)\right|}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.4. Limiting absorption principle at the thresholds

Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ and $K$ be a compact subset of $(\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{T}) \cup\{\tau\}$. As we already remarked in Sec. 3.1, it remains to find convenient functions $f$ and $g$ such that any $r_{n}^{j}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{K}$ and $j \in J_{n}^{K}$, is a Hölder continuous function on $K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$. But, recalling (3.38), the denominator of $H_{j}^{n}$ vanishes on $K$ (at $\lambda=\lambda_{j}^{n}$ ) when $j$ belongs to $J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)=\left\{m \in J_{n}^{K}, \lambda_{m}^{n}=\tau\right\}$. To make the analysis of this problem easier, we first start by decomposing $\sum_{j \in J_{n}^{K}} r_{n}^{j}$.

### 3.4.1. An adapted partition of $J_{n}^{K}$

Note that the set $J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)$ may be empty, but $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{K}} J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau) \neq \emptyset$ because $\tau$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}$. Furthermore, $p_{n}^{j} \in \overline{] p_{j \pm 1}^{n}, p_{j}^{n}[ }$, so $j \pm 1$ is not in $J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)$ when $j$ belongs to $J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)$. Recalling the strict monotonity of $\lambda_{n}$ on $] p_{j \pm 1}^{n}, p_{j}^{n}\left[\right.$, we also have $\lambda_{n}\left(p_{j \pm 1}^{n}\right) \neq \tau$. Hence, $j \pm 1$ does not belong to $J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)$, and the sets $J_{n}^{\prime}(K)=\left\{j \in J_{n}^{K}, \tau \notin \overline{I_{j}^{n}} \backslash I_{j}^{n}\right\}$ and $\cup_{n \in J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)}\{j-1, j\}$ also define a partition of $J_{n}^{K}$. Thus, for any $z \in K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$, we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in J_{n}^{K}} r_{n}^{j}(z)=\sum_{j \in J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)}\left(r_{n}^{j-1}(z)+r_{n}^{j}(z)\right)+\sum_{j \in J_{n}^{\prime}(K)} r_{n}^{j}(z) . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for any $j \in J_{n}^{\prime}(K)$, there is some $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lambda_{n}^{-1}(K \cap \mathbb{R}) \cap \overline{p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[ } \subset\right] p_{j}^{n}+3 \eta, p_{j+1}^{n}-3 \eta[ \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, we could otherwise build a sequence $\left(p_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ in $\left.\lambda^{-1}(K \cap \mathbb{R}) \cap\right] \overline{p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[ }$, such that $\min \left(p_{k}-p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}-p_{k}\right) \leq 1 / k$ for any $k \geq 1$. As $\lambda^{-1}(K \cap \mathbb{R})$ is a compact
set, $\left(p_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ can be assumed to converge to $p_{m}^{n}$ for some $m$ in $\{j, j+1\}$. Thus, $\left(\lambda_{n}\left(p_{k}\right)\right)_{k \geq 1}$ should converge to $\lambda_{m}^{n}$ with $m \in J_{n}$. Finally, $K$ being closed, the real $\lambda_{m}^{n}$ should belong to $K \cap \mathcal{T}$. But this is impossible because $m \notin J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)$. Hence, (3.44) is valid.

According to (3.44), the method used in Sec. 3.2 for $r_{m}^{k}$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}_{K}$ and $k \in$ $J_{m}^{K}$, applies here without essential modification to every $r_{n}^{j}, j \in J_{n}^{\prime}(K)$. Hence, for any $s>1 / 2$, we can find a constant $c_{n}^{j}$ depending neither on $f$ nor $g$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in\left(K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}\right)^{2},\left|r_{n}^{j}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-r_{n}^{j}(z)\right| \leq c_{n}^{j}\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\delta} . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us study $z \mapsto R_{n}^{j}(z)=r_{n}^{j-1}(z)+r_{n}^{j}(z)$ for every $j \in J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)$. In this case, we have $\lambda_{j}^{n}=\tau$ and $R_{n}^{j}(z)=\int_{p_{j-1}^{n}}^{p_{j+1}^{n}} \frac{h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-z} d p$ for any $z \in K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$. The function $p \mapsto \frac{h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-z}$ also has to be extended at $p=p_{j}^{n}$. As $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}\left(p_{j}^{n}\right)=0$, this extension necessarily requires a vanishing $h_{n}$ at $p_{j}^{n}$. This condition will be filled by choosing $f$ and $g$ in suitable subspaces of $H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$, which are introduced now.

### 3.4.2. The $\mathrm{NH}_{\varepsilon}^{s}$-spaces

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s>k-1 / 2$. Then, for any $\varphi \in H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$, it is shown in Ref. 15 that each $\tilde{\varphi}^{n}, n \geq 1$, belongs to $C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, for all $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $\alpha \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$, $\varphi \mapsto \frac{d^{\alpha} \tilde{\varphi}^{n}}{d p^{\alpha}}(q)$ is a linear form on $H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$. Hence, for any $j \in J_{n}$,

$$
N H_{\varepsilon}^{s}(n, j, k)=\left\{\varphi \in H_{\varepsilon}^{s}, \frac{d^{\alpha} \tilde{\varphi}_{n}}{d p^{\alpha}}\left(p_{j}^{n}\right)=0, \forall \alpha=0,1, \ldots, k-1\right\}
$$

is a closed subspace of $H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$. Now, let us see by taking $f$ and $g$ in the previous spaces, that $R_{j}^{n}, j \in J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)$, becomes a Hölder continuous function in any neighborhood of $\tau$.

### 3.4.3. Hölder continuous properties of $R_{n}^{j}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{K}, j \in J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)$

To see this, let $\alpha$ belong to $\left\{1,2, \ldots, N_{j}^{n}-1\right\}$, and set $\alpha^{\prime}=N_{j}^{n}-\alpha$. Next, fix $s>\alpha-1 / 2$ and $s^{\prime}>\alpha^{\prime}-1 / 2$ such that $\alpha+\alpha^{\prime}>N_{j}^{n}$. At last, take $f \in N H_{\varepsilon}^{s}(n, j, \alpha)$, $g \in N H_{\varepsilon}^{s^{\prime}}\left(n^{\prime}, j, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ and $\theta$ in $\left[0, \frac{t+t^{\prime}-1}{2}\left[\right.\right.$, where $t=\min (1, s-\alpha-1 / 2)$ and $t^{\prime}=$ $\min \left(1, s^{\prime}-\alpha^{\prime}-1 / 2\right)$.

As $j \in J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)$, it is clear that $\lambda_{j \pm 1}^{n}$ belongs to $\mathcal{T} \backslash\{\tau\}$ if $j \pm 1$ is in $J_{n}$ and that $\lim _{p \mapsto p_{j \pm 1}^{n}} \lambda_{n}(p)=+\infty$ otherwise. Therefore, the inclusion $K \backslash\{\tau\} \subset \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{T}$ allows us to build a compact subset $\bar{P}$ of $\overline{p_{j-1}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[ }$, such that $p_{j}^{n} \in \bar{P}$, and we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}^{j}=\inf \left\{\left|\lambda_{n}(p)-z\right|, p \in \overline{] p_{j-1}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[\backslash} \bar{P}, z \in K\right\}>0 . \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take now $\beta_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(] p_{j-1}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[)$ such that $\beta_{0}(p)=1$ for any $p \in \bar{P}$, and set $\beta_{1}(p)=$ $1-\beta_{0}(p)$ for any $p \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_{0}$ being 0 outside $] p_{j-1}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}\left[\right.$. Then, for every $z \in K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$, $R_{n}^{j}(z)$ decomposes in $R_{n}^{j}(z)=R_{n}^{j, 0}(z)+R_{n}^{j, 1}(z)$ with $R_{n}^{j, k}(z)=\int_{p_{j-1}^{n}}^{p_{j+1}^{n}} \frac{\beta_{k}(p) h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-z} d p$, for each $k=0,1$.

Now, $\overline{P_{0}}$ being $\operatorname{supp}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$, and $P_{0}$ denoting the interior set of $\overline{P_{0}}$, we have

$$
R_{n}^{j, 0}(z)=\int_{\left.P_{0} \cap\right] p_{j-1}^{n}, p_{j}^{n}[ } \frac{\beta_{0}(p) h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-z} d p+\int_{\left.\left.P_{0} \cap\right] p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}\right]} \frac{\beta_{0}(p) h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-z} d p
$$

for any $z \in K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$. Next, by setting $p=\xi_{j-1}^{n}(\lambda)$ in the first integral and $p=\xi_{j}^{n}(\lambda)$ in the second one, we get

$$
R_{n}^{j, 0}(z)=\sum_{k=j-1}^{j} \int_{I_{0} \cap I_{k}^{n}} \frac{\phi_{k}(\lambda)}{\lambda-z} d \lambda,
$$

with $I_{0}=\lambda_{n}\left(P_{0}\right), \phi_{k}=\psi \circ \xi_{k}^{n}$ for $k \in\{j-1, j\}$, and $\psi(p)=\frac{\beta_{0}(p) h_{n}(p)}{\left|\lambda_{n}^{\prime}(p)\right|}$ for any $p \in P_{0} \backslash\left\{p_{j}^{n}\right\}$. But $\bar{P}$ is a subset of $\overline{P_{0}}$, so $p_{j}^{n} \in \overline{P_{0}}$. According to Corollary 3.2.1 of Ref. 15, we can also write

$$
\forall p \in \overline{P_{0}}, h_{n}(p)=\left(p-p_{j}^{n}\right)^{N_{j}^{n}-1} H(p),
$$

where $H$ is a vanishing function at $p=p_{j}^{n}$, which satisfies in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) \in{\overline{P_{0}}}^{2},\left|H\left(p^{\prime}\right)-H(p)\right| \leq A\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|p^{\prime}-p\right|^{\theta}, \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $A$, independent of $f$ and $g$. Furthermore, $p_{j}^{n}$ is a zero of $\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{j}^{n}$ with multiplicity $N_{j}^{n} \geq 2$, so there is an analytic function $a_{n}$ on $\mathbb{R}$, which never vanishes on $\overline{P_{0}}$, such that

$$
\forall p \in \overline{P_{0}}, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}(p)=\left(p-p_{j}^{n}\right)^{N_{j}^{n}-1} a_{n}(p) .
$$

Next, as $H\left(p_{j}^{n}\right)=0$, the function $\psi$ can be extended continuously to $\overline{P_{0}}$ by setting

$$
\psi(p)= \begin{cases}\frac{(-1)^{N_{j}^{n}-1} \beta_{0}(p) H(p)}{\left|a_{n}(p)\right|} & \text { if } \left.\left.p \in \overline{P_{0}} \cap\right] p_{j-1}^{n}, p_{j}^{n}\right], \\ \frac{\beta_{0}(p) H(p)}{\left|a_{n}(p)\right|} & \text { if } p \in \overline{P_{0}} \cap\left[p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[.\right.\end{cases}
$$

As $\beta_{0} H /\left|a_{n}\right| \in C^{1}(] p_{j-1}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}[)$ and $\psi\left(p_{j}^{n}\right)=0,(3.47)$ furnishes a constant $B$, independent of $f$ and $g$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) \in{\overline{P_{0}}}^{2},\left|\psi\left(p^{\prime}\right)-\psi(p)\right| \leq B\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|p^{\prime}-p\right|^{\theta} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, as $\overline{I_{0}}=\lambda_{n}\left(\overline{P_{0}}\right)$ is a compact subset of $I_{j-1}^{n} \cup\{\tau\} \cup I_{j}^{n}$, the inverse function $\xi_{k}^{n}$ is $1 / N_{j}^{n}$-Hölder continuous on $\overline{I_{0}} \cap \overline{I_{k}^{n}}$ for every $k \in\{j-1, j\}$, so we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \in\left(\overline{I_{0}} \cap \overline{I_{k}^{n}}\right)^{2},\left|\phi_{k}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)-\phi_{k}(\lambda)\right| \leq M_{k}\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|p^{\prime}-p\right|^{\theta / N_{j}^{n}}, \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $M_{k}$ depends neither on $f$ nor $g$. In view of extending (3.49) to any $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ in $\overline{I_{0}}$, two cases have to be distinguished now.

- First case: $\lambda_{n}$ is monotone on $] p_{j-1}^{n}, p_{j}^{n}[\cup] p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}\left[\right.$. Thus, $\overline{I_{j-1}^{n}} \cap \overline{I_{j}^{n}}=\{\tau\}$ and, according to (3.49), the function

$$
\phi(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\phi_{j-1}^{n}(\lambda) & \text { if } \lambda \in \overline{I_{j-1}^{n}} \cap \overline{I_{0}} \\ \phi_{j}^{n}(\lambda) & \text { if } \lambda \in \overline{I_{j}^{n}} \cap \overline{I_{0}},\end{cases}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \in \bar{I}_{0}^{2},\left|\phi\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)-\phi(\lambda)\right| \leq a_{j}^{n}\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|\lambda^{\prime}-\lambda\right|^{\theta / N_{j}^{n}}, \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some independent constant $a_{j}^{n}$ of $f$ and $g$. Moreover, $\psi$ vanishes at the ends of $\overline{P_{0}}$, so $\phi$ vanishes at $\overline{I_{0}} \backslash I_{0}$.

- Second case: $\lambda_{n}$ is not monotone on $] p_{j-1}^{n}, p_{j}^{n}[\cup] p_{j}^{n}, p_{j+1}^{n}\left[\right.$. As $I_{j-1}^{n}$ and $I_{j}^{n}$ have symmetric roles, we can assume for example that $I_{j-1}^{n} \subset I_{j}^{n}$. Then, by setting

$$
\phi(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\phi_{j-1}^{n}(\lambda)+\phi_{j}^{n}(\lambda) & \text { if } \lambda \in \overline{I_{j-1}^{n}} \cap \overline{I_{0}} \\ \phi_{j}^{n}(\lambda) & \text { if } \lambda \in \overline{\left(I_{j}^{n} \backslash I_{j-1}^{n}\right)} \cap \overline{I_{0}},\end{cases}
$$

it can be verified that $\phi$ satisfies (3.50) and vanishes at $\overline{I_{0}} \backslash I_{0}$ again.
Now, as $R_{n}^{j, 0}(z)$ reduces to $\int_{\bar{I}_{0}} \frac{\phi(\lambda)}{\lambda-z} d \lambda$ for any $z \in K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$, Lemma 3.1 finally involves:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in\left(K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}, \quad\left|R_{n}^{j, 0}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-R_{n}^{j, 0}(z)\right| \leq a_{j}^{n}\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|z^{\prime}-z\right|^{\theta / N_{j}^{n}} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we remark that the condition $\phi(\tau)=0$ warrants

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow \tau, \pm \operatorname{Im}(z)>0} R_{n}^{j, 0}(z)=p \cdot v \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\beta_{0}(p) h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-\tau} d p\right) . \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, $\operatorname{supp}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \subset \mathbb{R} \backslash \bar{P}$, so (3.46) proves that $R_{n}^{j, 1}$ is Lipschitz continuous on $K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}$. As $\theta / N_{j}^{n} \leq 1$, it can finally be deduced from (3.51) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in\left(K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}, \quad\left|R_{n}^{j}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-R_{n}^{j}(z)\right| \leq C_{n}^{j}\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|z^{\prime}-z\right|^{\theta / N_{j}^{n}} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C_{n}^{j}$ independent of $f$ and $g$.
Now, as $J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)$ is at most finite, (3.32) is verified when any $R_{n}^{j}, j \in J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)$, satisfies (3.53). This condition can be fulfilled by taking functions $f$ and $g$ in a suitable intersection of the previous $N H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$-spaces. Set also $Z_{\tau}=\left\{(n, j), n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, j \in\right.$ $\left.J_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right\}$. Then, for any multi-indice $\underline{a}=\left(a_{j}^{n}\right)_{(n, j) \in Z_{\tau}} \in\left(\mathbb{N}^{*}\right)^{Z_{\tau}}$, whose modulus is $|\underline{a}|=\max _{(n, j) \in Z_{\tau}}\left|a_{j}^{n}\right|$, and for any $s>|\underline{a}|-1 / 2$, we have already remarked that $N H_{\varepsilon}^{s}\left(n, j, a_{j}^{n}\right)$ is a closed subset of $H_{\varepsilon}^{s}$. So the intersection

$$
N H_{\varepsilon}^{s}(\tau, \underline{a})=\bigcap_{(n, j) \in Z_{\tau}} N H_{\varepsilon}^{s}\left(n, j, a_{j}^{n}\right)
$$

is also closed. Now, it only remains to find a suitable multi-indice $\underline{a}$ for which a limiting absorption principle can be deduced in the space $N H_{\varepsilon}^{s}(\tau, \underline{a})$.

### 3.4.4. A limiting absorption principle for $M$ at $\tau$

Assume now that $a_{j}^{n}$ belongs to $\left\{1,2, \ldots, N_{j}^{n}\right\}$ for any $(n, j)$ in $Z_{\tau}$, and set $\underline{b}=\left(N_{j}^{n}-a_{j}^{n}\right)_{(n, j) \in Z_{K}}$. Next, take $s^{\prime}>|\underline{b}|-1 / 2$ such that $s+s^{\prime}>|\underline{N}|$. At last, set
$t=\min (1, s-|\underline{a}|+1 / 2), t^{\prime}=\min \left(1, s^{\prime}-|\underline{b}|+1 / 2\right)$ and $\theta \in\left[0, \frac{t+t^{\prime}-1}{2}[\right.$. Thus, recalling (3.36), (3.37), (3.43), (3.45) and (3.53), we finally have proved that for any $\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in\left(K \cap \mathbb{C}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}$,

$$
\left|\left(R_{M}^{ \pm}\left(z^{\prime}\right) f, g\right)_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}-\left(R_{M}^{ \pm}(z) f, g\right)_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\right| \leq C_{M}\|f\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\|g\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^{s}}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\theta / \backslash \underline{N} \mid}
$$

where the constant $C_{M}$ is independent of $f$ and $g$. Thus, as shown in Sec. 3.1, the general extension theorem of uniformly continuous functions involves:

Theorem 3.2. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ and $K$ be a compact subset of $(\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{T}) \cup\{\tau\}$. Next, for any multi-indice $\underline{a}=\left(a_{j}^{n}\right)_{(n, j) \in Z_{K}}$ such that $a_{j}^{n} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, N_{j}^{n}\right\}$ for any $(n, j)$ in $Z_{K}$, set $\underline{b}=\left(N_{j}^{n}-a_{j}^{n}\right)_{(n, j) \in Z_{K}}$. At last, take $s>|\underline{a}|-1 / 2$ and $s^{\prime}>|\underline{b}|-1 / 2$ such that $s+s^{\prime}>|\underline{N}|$.

Thus, the function $z \mapsto R_{M}(z)$ extends in a $\theta /|\underline{N}|$-Hölder continuous function on $K \cap \overline{\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}}$for any $\theta \in\left[0, t+t^{\prime}-1 / 2[\right.$, where $t=\min (1, s-|\underline{a}|+1 / 2)$ and $t^{\prime}=\min \left(1, s^{\prime}-|\underline{b}|+1 / 2\right)$. Indeed, there is a constant $c=c\left(s, s^{\prime}, \theta, K\right)$ such that

$$
\forall z, z^{\prime} \in K \cap \overline{\mathbb{C}^{ \pm}},\left\|R_{M}^{ \pm}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-R_{M}^{ \pm}(z)\right\|_{B\left(N H_{\varepsilon}^{s}(\tau, \underline{a}),\left(N H_{\varepsilon}^{s^{\prime}}(\tau, \underline{b})\right)^{\prime}\right)} \leq c\left|z^{\prime}-z\right|^{\theta /(|\underline{N}|+1)}
$$

Finally, under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, we deduce from (3.52) and Lemma 3.1 that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{z \rightarrow \tau, \pm \operatorname{Im}(z)>0}\left(R_{M}^{ \pm}(z) f, g\right)_{H_{\varepsilon}} \\
& \quad=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left\{p \cdot v \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h_{n}(p)}{\lambda_{n}(p)-\tau} d p\right) \pm i \pi \sum_{q \in \lambda_{n}^{-1}(\{\tau\}), \lambda_{n}^{\prime}(q) \neq 0} \frac{h_{n}(q)}{\left|\lambda_{n}^{\prime}(q)\right|}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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